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The Eskay Rift Zone in NW British Columbia is a classic example of an orogenic rift produced during strike-slip motion between two plates.

The Eskay Rift Zone is characterized by the Hazelton Formation sulfidic sediments and volcanic rocks, along with Jurassic-aged gabbroic intrusions, which together provide a classic setting for the development of magmatic sulfide mineralization.

The red rectangle on the map shows the area enlarged on page 3.
Geological and geophysical regional setting of the Nickel Mountain Property
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Regional Geology after Nelson et al. (2018)
• Extensive footprint of the Nickel Mountain gabbro complex extends over 12km of strike length

• Prospective gabbroic intrusions are hosted by sedimentary rocks of the Hazelton Formation (see page 5)
Nickel Mountain: distribution of mapped gabbroic rocks in outcrop and in-situ samples with MgO > 7.5wt% showing the extent of highly prospective Nickel Mountain gabbro suite rocks in shales of the Spatsizi Formation.
Field work designed to identify new segments of mafic intrusions utilizing results of 2018-2019 lithogeochemistry and spectral interpretation of Worldview 3 satellite images.

Boulders with mineralization and textures similar to E&L were located ~900m west of E&L with strong similarities to the E&L rocks and variable-textured gabbros ~700 and 1500m to northeast (see page 7 for a description of these rock types).

Float samples:
E&L orbicular- and taxitic gabbros with disseminated Po-Cpy-Pn

Variable-textured melagabbro outcrops
Tracing the extension of E&L along the prospective corridor

- Float and outcrop samples located west and east of E&L

Orbicular textured olivine gabbro resembles rocks from the E&L Intrusion shown inset D

Orbicular textures in taxites from E&L

Variable-textured melagabbros

Taxitic-textured olivine gabbro with ~5% magmatic-textured Po-Pn-Cpy resembles mineralized taxitic olivine gabbros from E&L (0.72%Ni, 0.80%Cu, 12%MgO)
SIGNIFICANCE OF JAROSITE: Jarosite is a hydrous sulfate of potassium and iron with a chemical formula of KFe$^{+3}$(OH)$_6$(SO$_4$)$_2$.

This sulfate mineral is formed in ore deposits by the oxidation of iron sulfide. The spectral response relates directly to the position of E&L upslope of the color anomaly. Other jarosite anomalies related to gabbro are targets for follow-up.

Gabbroic rocks mapped at surface
Gabbro spectral response
Jarosite spectral response
Lithogeochemistry sample station with gabbro in outcrop or subcrop (>7.5%MgO)

Modelled distribution of gabbro and jarosite distribution based on Worldview 3 satellite data with superimposed location of gabbroic intrusions and samples with >=7.5%MgO

Worldview 3 data processed by Joe Zamudio
Long section view towards north showing the distribution of E&L gabbro and mineralization

- Exploration potential at E&L exists along the plunge extent of the intrusion towards the east.

- High-grade massive sulfides tend to be hosted by Hazelton Group sedimentary rocks adjacent to olivine gabbros with disseminated sulfide mineralization.

Nickel Mountain gabbro
E&L mineralized gabbro
Hazelton Group sedimentary rocks
Unexplored
Massive sulfide

Major faults
(faults in plane of section not shown)

Diamond drilling
Intrusion open
Massive sulfide open

Exploration potential at E&L exists along the plunge extent of the intrusion towards the east.

High-grade massive sulfides tend to be hosted by Hazelton Group sedimentary rocks adjacent to olivine gabbros with disseminated sulfide mineralization.
Zircon from the barren Nickel Mountain gabbro from borehole EL-19-50 (15-20m) adjacent to the E&L Intrusion has yielded a U-Pb age date of 180.72±0.45Ma (Data provided by Kevin Chamberlain).

- A reliable age date for the mineralized E&L Intrusion is not yet available because it has been difficult to locate grains of zircon or baddeleyite.

**Sequence of events in the formation of the E&L and Nickel Mountain mafic intrusions**

EL-17-01 168-181m: Transitional gabbro (TG) between Nickel Mountain Gabbro and E&L-type olivine gabbro at ~174-175m.

- The gradational relationship indicates that the two intrusions were emplaced in a short-lived multi-phase magmatic event.
**E&L Intrusion: texture and sulfide content of rock**

**Hole EL-18-19 @ 96.25m**
Disseminated sulfide in orbicular to taxitic-textured olivine gabbro

**Hole EL-20-88 @ 525m**
Disseminated sulfide in olivine pyroxenite

**Hole EL-19-47 @ 89.7m**
Orbicular-textured olivine gabbro

**Hole EL-19-53 @ 124.0m**
Semi-massive sulfide with orbicular-textured gabbro

**Hole EL-18-33 @ 76.6m**
Net-textured to semi-massive contact sulfide at margin of E&L Intrusion

**Hole EL-18-23 @ 73.8m**
Loop-textured massive sulfide in Hazelton Group
Massive and disseminated sulfides contain an average of 7-8 wt% Ni in 100% sulfide.

Massive sulfides have lower Cu and total precious metal tenor than disseminated sulfide.

If the disseminated and massive sulfides were produced from the same sulfide melt, then there are significant amounts of Cu and precious metals missing from the known E&L semi-massive-massive sulfide zones.

**Explanation:**

- Metal tenor is an estimate of the concentration of the metals in 100% sulfide using the concentration of S in a normal assemblage of pyrrhotite, pentlandite, and chalcopyrite.
- **(Pt + Pd + Au)**

**Quality of mineralization at E&L and variations in Ni, Cu, Co, and precious metal tenor**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Assay Range</th>
<th>Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>5-15 wt%</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>&gt;=15 wt%</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3E</strong></td>
<td>100 ppm</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Far outlier: 3*(Q3-Q1)
Outlier: 1.5*(Q3-Q1)
### Quality of massive and semi-massive sulfide mineralization at E&L: low concentrations of deleterious elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>MEDIAN</th>
<th>ST.DEV. (1 sigma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni100</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu100</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E100</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni100</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu100</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu100</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E100</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>109.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>1623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>101.2</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>79.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Massive and semi-massive sulfides (>=15 wt% S)

**Highlights:**

- Elevated metal concentrations in sulfide (Ni100, Cu100, Co100, 3E100)
- Low abundances of deleterious elements like MgO, As, Bi, Cd, Pb, Sb, Sn, Te, and Zn
- Local development of Cr spinel within footwall sulfide mineralization

For values at limit of determination (LoD), ½ of the LoD value is used for the calculation
* MASU: Massive sulfide
** SMASU: Semi-massive sulfide
Systematic variations in base and precious metals through massive sulfides of Lower Discovery Zone in hole EL-17-14

Average concentrations in rock from hole EL-17-14:
- 1.7g/t Pt
- 4.0g/t Pd
- 0.89 g/t Au
- 0.16g/t Rh
- 0.11g/t Ru
- 0.19g/t Ag
Data density plot showing normative proportions of chalcopyrite, pentlandite and pyrrhotite calculated from assays from E&L with S>5wt%

Hexagonal:monoclinic pyrrhotite ratio 0.5 (X-ray diffraction on 13 samples from the Discovery Zone)

Granular:flame pentlandite ratio >0.95 (petrographic observations on polished samples)

Ni concentration in solid solution in pyrrhotite: 0.65% (determined by electron microprobe on 191 grains)

Dominant control of telluride minerals on Ag, Pt, and Pd

Massive sulfide from Discovery Zone in reflected light showing granular pentlandite, hexagonal and monoclinic pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, and magnetite (sample EL14@130.6m)
Comparison of the metal tenor in 100% sulfide of E&L massive and disseminated sulfides with global magmatic sulfides

- Ni and Cu tenor of the massive and disseminated sulfide at E&L compare with world-class magmatic sulfide ore deposits
- Precious metal tenors (3E)* are amongst the highest of the deposits shown

* (Pt+Pd+Au) ppm

Data source: deposit data are averages by deposit and/or mineralization style from Naldrett (2004) and Lightfoot (2016). Analyses from E&L are averages based on assays with >15wt%S (semi-massive and massive) and 5-15wt%S (disseminated)
Tracing the extension of E&L along the prospective corridor

- Thanks to consistent careful assaying, value can be extracted for exploration purposes from the database.

- The whole-rock geochemical signal of the barren and weakly mineralized gabbros from the E&L Intrusion differ from most samples from the barren equigranular Nickel Mountain Gabbro.

- The La/Y and MgO concentrations offer a way to identify rocks that belong to intrusions that have a greater chance of being mineralized.
Regional Exploration Signal: as shown on page 17, the geochemical signals from E&L type gabbro contrast with those of the Nickel Mountain type gabbro: variations in MgO and La/Y help target gabbro which belongs to the mineralizing event. Cr variations are also an important discriminant. This map indicates that E&L type gabbros extend far beyond the known extent of the E&L Intrusion.
In 2019, Vektore was engaged by GGI to provide technical expertise to the Nickel Mountain Project. Vektore’s world class structural experts support the project by collecting, processing, and interpreting structural data from oriented and non-oriented core to understand the controls on mineralization and the morphology of the intrusions.

At the core of Vektore’s best practices is the Ore.node® software and the application of the vSET™ and vSI™ methods to log the structures in oriented core and invert the results to that they can be incorporated into 3D models of the mineral zones.

The geometry of mineral zones is understood by using the Structural Vectoring® parameters such as ore contacts, sulphide foliation/lineation, way-up lineations, and stretched loop structure.

Vektore’s work helped to establish the plunge of the E&L Intrusion.

**Morphology of the E&L Intrusion and localization of sulfide mineralization**

- **Hole EL-19-48 ~97m**
  - Blebby pyrrhotite
  - Pentlandite-chalcopyrite
  - (Way-up lineation) Orbicular textured taxite

- **Hole EL-17-14 @ 136.1m**
  - Stretched loop textured pyrrhotite-pentlandite-chalcopyrite massive sulphide. Lineation indicates flow directions (red line)
Small differentiated intrusions represent open system magma conduits (chonoliths) which are excellent targets for magmatic sulfide exploration

- The E&L intrusion is growing in volume with exploration along the WNW-ESE trend
- E&L is comparable in size to many intrusions containing economically important nickel sulfide ore deposits

The footprint of the Karatungk Intrusion (Xinjiang, China), an analogue of the E&L Intrusion is ~0.05km², but it extends at depth for >2.5km strike length

Small differentiated intrusions represent open system magma conduits (chonoliths) which are excellent targets for magmatic sulfide exploration

The footprint of the Karatungk Intrusion (Xinjiang, China), an analogue of the E&L Intrusion is ~0.05km², but it extends at depth for >2.5km strike length

- The E&L intrusion is growing in volume with exploration along the WNW-ESE trend
- E&L is comparable in size to many intrusions containing economically important nickel sulfide ore deposits
Morphology of E&L Intrusion is a bladed pipe

Originally an open system magma conduit plunging at ~45 degrees towards the east

Mineralization occurs within the pipe, along the flanks, and within footwall lenses in the Hazelton Group

Open system magma conduits (chonoliths) typically have a small volume and footprint; exploration must follow their strike extent to find new mineral zones
Magmatic nickel sulfide tends to be found in association with mafic-ultramafic intrusions in rifted craton margins.

Far-field stress and collisional tectonic events often transform the primary setting into an orogen with multiphase deformation and variable degrees of sulfide remobilization.

Global examples of deposits once associated with rifts and now in the erosional products of orogens are shown together with other types of nickel deposits.
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